

Derivation of a BC_n elliptic summation formula via the fundamental invariants

MASAHIKO ITO* and MASATOSHI NOUMI†

Abstract

We give an alternative proof of an elliptic summation formula of type BC_n by applying the fundamental BC_n invariants to the study of Jackson integrals associated with the summation formula.

Keywords. BC_n elliptic summation formula; fundamental invariants

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 33D70; Secondary 39A13

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate the following multiple elliptic summation formula of type BC_n : Under the conditions $a_1 \cdots a_6 t^{2n-2} = q$ and $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N \geq \nu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_n \geq 0} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (qt^{2(i-1)})^{\nu_i} \frac{\theta(q^{2\nu_i} \xi_i^2; p)}{\theta(\xi_i^2; p)} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\theta(q^{\nu_j - \nu_k} \xi_j / \xi_k; p) \theta(q^{\nu_j + \nu_k} \xi_j \xi_k; p)}{\theta(\xi_j / \xi_k; p) \theta(\xi_j \xi_k; p)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{m=1}^6 \frac{\theta(a_m \xi_i; p; q)_{\nu_i}}{\theta(q a_m^{-1} \xi_i; p; q)_{\nu_i}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\theta(t \xi_j / \xi_k; p; q)_{\nu_j - \nu_k} \theta(t \xi_j \xi_k; p; q)_{\nu_j + \nu_k}}{\theta(q t^{-1} \xi_j / \xi_k; p; q)_{\nu_j - \nu_k} \theta(q t^{-1} \xi_j \xi_k; p; q)_{\nu_j + \nu_k}} \Big] \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(q a_1^2 t^{n+i-2}; p; q)_N \prod_{2 \leq j < k \leq 4} \theta(q a_j^{-1} a_k^{-1} t^{1-i}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} t^{2-n-i}; p; q)_N \prod_{m=2}^4 \theta(q a_m^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for $\xi_i = a_1 t^{n-i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where we have used the standard notation

$$(x; p)_{\infty} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^i x), \quad \theta(x; p) = (x; p)_{\infty} (p/x; p)_{\infty}$$

for the shifted factorial and the theta function of base p ($|p| < 1$). We have also used the notation

$$\theta(x; p; q)_k = \theta(x; p) \theta(qx; p) \cdots \theta(q^{k-1}x; p) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

for the elliptic shifted factorial as in [1]. (We decided not to use the notation $(x; q, p)_k$ in [4, 13] to avoid confusion with the infinite product $(x; p, q)_{\infty}$.)

*School of Science and Technology for Future Life, Tokyo Denki University, Tokyo 120-8551, Japan

†Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657 8501, Japan

The summation formula (1.1) for $n = 1$ is the Frenkel–Turaev sum [3]. This formula for general n was first conjectured by Warnaar [13], and proved by van Diejen–Spiridonov [1] in the case where $p = 0$. The proof in the general case was given by Rosengren [8], Rains [6] and Coskun–Gustafson [2]. (See also Schlosser [10] in the special case $t = q$.)

The purpose of this paper is to give an alternative proof of the summation formula (1.1) based on the idea of the Jackson integrals and the associated q -difference de Rham cohomology. In our approach a certain family of fundamental BC_n invariants plays an important role in analyzing the structure of this summation.

After formulating the summation formula (1.1) in terms of Jackson integrals in Section 2, we introduce in Section 3 a family of holomorphic functions $E_r(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$), called *fundamental invariants*, which are characterized by a certain interpolation property. In Section 4 we establish two-term relations for the Jackson integrals involving $E_r(z)$. On the basis of these preparations, we give in Section 5 a proof of the summation formula (1.1). This proof is based on q -difference equations for the Jackson integrals arising from the two-term relations of Section 4.

We remark that our fundamental invariants $E_r(z) = E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ are essentially the interpolation theta functions of Coskun–Gustafson [2] and Rains [6] attached to single columns. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_r(a_1, a_2; z) &= c_r W_{(1^r)}(z/a_2; q, p, t, a_2^2, a_2/qa_1) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(a_1 z_i; p) \theta(a_1/z_i; p) \\ &= c_r^* P_{(1^r)}^{*(1,n)}(z; a_2, a_1, q, t; p) \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

with the notations $W_\lambda(x; q, p, t, a, b)$ and $P_\lambda^{*(m,n)}(x; a, b, q, t; p)$ of [2] and [6], respectively, where the constants c_r and c_r^* are determined by comparing the values at $z = (qa_2 t^{n-1}, \dots, qa_2 t^{n-r}, a_2 t^{n-r-1}, \dots, a_2)$.¹

We expect that our method is applicable to other elliptic Jackson summations as well. Interesting cases to consider would be the elliptic Gustafson–Rakha summation on A_m in [11] and its variations in [12], which have remained unproven until now.

2 Jackson integrals

We first rewrite the summation formula (1.1) in the terminology of Jackson integrals. For a function $f(z)$ in n variables $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, we denote by

$$\int_0^{\xi_\infty} f(z) \varpi_q(z) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(q^\nu \xi) = \sum_{(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(q^{\nu_1} \xi_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n} \xi_n)$$

the Jackson integral of $f(z)$ with a base point $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, where

$$\varpi_q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \frac{d_q z_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d_q z_n}{z_n}.$$

¹ The values of $W_{(1^r)}(x; q, p, t, a, b)$ and $P_{(1^r)}^{*(1,n)}(x; a, b, q, t; p)$ at this point are explicitly given in [2, 6], while the value of $E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ is computed by

$$E_r(a_1, a_2; z_1, \dots, z_r, a_2 t^{n-r-1}, \dots, a_2) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r} z_i; p) \theta(a_2 t^{n-r}/z_i; p)}{\theta(a_1 a_2 t^{n-i}; p) \theta(a_2 t^{n-2r+i}/a_1; p)}.$$

We define a multivalued meromorphic function $\Phi(z) = \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_6; z)$ on the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{m=1}^6 z_i^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_m} \frac{\Gamma(a_m z_i; p, q)}{\Gamma(q a_m^{-1} z_i; p, q)} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{z_j^{1-2\tau} \Gamma(t z_j / z_k; p, q) \Gamma(t z_j z_k; p, q)}{\Gamma(q t^{-1} z_j / z_k; p, q) \Gamma(q t^{-1} z_j z_k; p, q)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{-1} \theta(z_i^2; p) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} z_j^{-1} \theta(z_j / z_k; p) \theta(z_j z_k; p) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with complex parameters $a_i = q^{\alpha_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 6$) and $t = q^\tau$, where

$$\Gamma(x; p, q) = \frac{(pq/x; p, q)_\infty}{(x; p, q)_\infty}, \quad (x; p, q)_\infty = \prod_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^i q^j x) \quad (|p| < 1, |q| < 1)$$

denotes the Ruijsenaars elliptic gamma function [9]. Note that

$$\frac{\Gamma(qx; p, q)}{\Gamma(x; p, q)} = \theta(x; p), \quad \frac{\Gamma(q^k x; p, q)}{\Gamma(x; p, q)} = \theta(x; p; q)_k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

We remark that for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi(q^\nu z)}{\Phi(z)} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{q^{2\nu_i} \theta(q^{2\nu_i} z_i^2; p)}{\theta(z_i^2; p)} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\theta(q^{\nu_j - \nu_k} z_j / z_k; p) \theta(q^{\nu_j + \nu_k} z_j z_k; p)}{\theta(z_j / z_k; p) \theta(z_j z_k; p)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{m=1}^6 \frac{a_m^{-\nu_i} \theta(a_m z_i; p; q)_{\nu_i}}{\theta(q a_m^{-1} z_i; p; q)_{\nu_i}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{t^{-2\nu_j} \theta(t z_j / z_k; p; q)_{\nu_j - \nu_k} \theta(t z_j z_k; p; q)_{\nu_j + \nu_k}}{\theta(q t^{-1} z_j / z_k; p; q)_{\nu_j - \nu_k} \theta(q t^{-1} z_j z_k; p; q)_{\nu_j + \nu_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the summand of the left-hand side of (1.1) coincides with the value of $\Phi(q^\nu z)/\Phi(z)$ at $z = \xi$, under the condition $a_1 \cdots a_6 t^{2n-2} = q$.

In order to rewrite (1.1) as a Jackson integral, we consider the multiplicative lattice

$$\Lambda_\xi = \{ \xi q^\nu = (\xi_1 q^{\nu_1}, \xi_2 q^{\nu_2}, \dots, \xi_n q^{\nu_n}) \mid \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \}$$

with the base point $\xi = (a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1 t, a_1)$, under the condition $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$ which makes the Jackson integral a finite sum. Notice that $\Phi(z)$ has poles along $a_6 z_1 = q^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$) which arise from the factor

$$\Gamma(a_6 z_1; p, q) = \frac{(pq/a_6 z_1; p, q)_\infty}{(a_6 z_1; p, q)_\infty}.$$

Hence, the points $z = (a_1 t^{n-1} q^{\nu_1}, \dots, a_1 q^{\nu_n}) \in \Lambda_\xi$ with $\nu_1 \leq N$ are contained in the set of the poles of $\Phi(z)$, since $a_6 z_1 = q^{-N+\nu_1}$ for $z_1 = a_1 t^{n-1} q^{\nu_1}$. So as to avoid these poles, we modify $\Phi(z)$ as

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = z_1^{-1+2\alpha_6} \frac{\theta(a_6 z_1; q)}{\theta(q a_6^{-1} z_1; q)} \Phi(z)$$

by multiplying with a q -periodic function. Then we see that

$$\frac{\tilde{\Phi}(q^\nu z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} = \frac{\Phi(q^\nu z)}{\Phi(z)} \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n) \quad (2.2)$$

and that the lattice Λ_ξ is contained in the domain of holomorphy of $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$. Accordingly, it makes sense to consider the Jackson integral

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \int_0^{\xi^\infty} \tilde{\Phi}(z) \varpi_q(z), \quad \xi = (a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1) \quad (2.3)$$

under the condition $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$. Furthermore, one can verify that $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ has zeros along

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= a_1 q^k \quad (k < 0), & z_j/z_{j+1} &= t q^k \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1; k < 0), \\ a_6 z_1 &= q^k \quad (k > 0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\tilde{\Phi}(q^\nu \xi) = 0$ unless $N \geq \nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_n \geq 0$. Hence we see that the Jackson integral (2.3) is a finite sum, and coincides with the left-hand side of (1.1) under the condition $a_1 \dots a_6 t^{2n-2} = q$. Note also that, if $N = 0$, i.e. $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = 1$, this sum reduces to a single term, giving 1.

3 Fundamental BC_n invariants

In this section, we introduce a family of fundamental BC_n invariant functions $E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ ($r = 0, \dots, n$), which are characterized by an interpolation property.

We denote by $W_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ the Weyl group of type C_n . This group acts on the space $\mathcal{O}((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)$ of holomorphic functions on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ through permutations and inversions of the variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$. We consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace $H_n \subset \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)$ consisting of all W_n -invariant holomorphic functions $f(z)$ such that $T_{p, z_i} f(z) = f(z)/(p z_i^2)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where T_{p, z_i} stands for the p -shift operator in z_i defined by $T_{p, z_i} f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(\dots, p z_i, \dots)$:

$$H_n = \{ f(z) \in \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{W_n} \mid T_{p, z_i} f(z) = f(z)/(p z_i^2) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \}. \quad (3.1)$$

The functions in H_n are called *the BC_n -symmetric theta functions of degree 1* [7] and the dimension of H_n as a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space is known to be $n+1$, see e.g. [5, 7].

For generic complex parameters (a_1, a_2) and t , we define a set of reference points $\zeta^{(s)} = \zeta^{(s)}(a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ($s = 0, 1, \dots, n$) by

$$\zeta^{(s)} = (\underbrace{a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{s-1}}_s, \underbrace{a_2, a_2 t, \dots, a_2 t^{n-s-1}}_{n-s}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

Theorem 3.1 *The $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space H_n has a unique basis $E_r(z) = E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) such that*

$$E_r(\zeta^{(s)}) = \delta_{rs} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r, s \leq n, \quad (3.2)$$

where δ_{rs} is the Kronecker delta.

We call the holomorphic functions $E_r(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) of Theorem 3.1 the (*elliptic*) *fundamental W_n -invariants*. We prove this theorem by explicitly constructing $E_r(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) with the interpolation property (3.2). In what follows, we use the abbreviation $\theta(ax^\pm; p) = \theta(ax; p)\theta(ax^{-1}; p)$ for the product of two factors with x and x^{-1} .

Definition 3.2 For each $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we define a holomorphic function $E_r(z) = E_r(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ in n variables $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with parameters (a_1, a_2, t) by

$$E_r(z) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I|=r}} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{i_k-k} z_{i_k}^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{i_k-k} (a_1 t^{k-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \prod_{l=1}^{n-r} \frac{\theta(a_1 t^{j_l-l} z_{j_l}^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^{j_l-l} (a_2 t^{l-1})^{\pm 1}; p)},$$

where the summation is over all r -subsets I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\}$, $J = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I = \{j_1 < \dots < j_{n-r}\}$.

In particular,

$$E_0(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_1 z_i^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 (a_2 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}, \quad E_n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_2 z_i^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}.$$

When we need to specify the number of variables, we use the notation $E_r^{(n)}(z) = E_r(z)$. We first remark that these functions can also be defined inductively on the number of variables.

Proposition 3.3 *The functions $E_r^{(n)}(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$E_r^{(n)}(z) = E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\widehat{n}}) \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r} z_n^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r} (a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} + E_r^{(n-1)}(z_{\widehat{n}}) \frac{\theta(a_1 t^r z_n^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^r (a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)},$$

where $z_{\widehat{i}} = (z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). □

It is immediately verified that these functions have the quasi-periodicity

$$T_{p, z_i} E_r(z) = E_r(z) / (p z_i^2) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We next prove that $E_r(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) carry the interpolation property with respect to the reference points $\zeta^{(s)}$ ($s = 0, 1, \dots, n$),

Lemma 3.4 $E_r(\zeta^{(s)}) = \delta_{rs}$ for $0 \leq r, s \leq n$.

Proof. We take the summand of $E_r(z)$ corresponding to I , and look at its value at $\zeta^{(s)}$. This term has zeros along the divisors

$$z_{i_k} = a_2 t^{i_k-k} \quad (k = 1, \dots, r), \quad z_{j_l} = a_2 t^{j_l-l} \quad (l = 1, \dots, n-r).$$

On the other hand, the components of $\zeta^{(s)}$ are given by

$$\zeta_i^{(s)} = a_1 t^{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s), \quad \zeta_i^{(s)} = a_2 t^{i-s-1} \quad (s+1 \leq i \leq n).$$

When $r > s$, we have $\zeta_{i_{s+1}}^{(s)} = a_2 t^{i_{s+1}-s-1}$ since $i_{s+1} \geq s+1$, and hence the factor for $k = s+1$ vanishes. When $r < s$, we have $\zeta_{j_1}^{(s)} = a_1 t^{j_1-1}$ since $j_1 \leq r+1 \leq s$, and hence the factor for $l = 1$ vanishes. When $r = s$ and $j_1 \leq r$, we have $\zeta_{j_1}^{(r)} = a_1 t^{j_1-1}$, and the factor for $l = 1$ vanishes. Hence the summand is nontrivial only when $r = s$ and $j_1 = r+1$, namely $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\} = \{r+1, \dots, n\}$; in this case the corresponding term takes the value 1 since

$$\zeta_k^{(r)} = a_2 t^{k-1} \quad (k = 1, \dots, r), \quad \zeta_{r+l}^{(r)} = a_1 t^{l-1} \quad (l = 1, \dots, n-r). \quad \square$$

Notice that the linear independence of $E_r(z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) follows from the interpolation property. In order to establish Theorem 3.1, it remains to show the W_n -invariance of $E_r(z)$. Since $E_r(z)$ are invariant under the inversion of variables z_i , we need to prove they are symmetric in the variables $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

Lemma 3.5 *For each $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $E_r(z)$ is symmetric in the variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on the number of variables n . By the recurrence relation of Proposition 3.3, $E_r^{(n)}(z) = E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n}}, z_n)$ are symmetric with respect to $z_{\widehat{n}} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$. Hence it suffices to show that they are invariant under the transposition of (z_{n-1}, z_n) , namely, $E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_{n-1}, z_n) = E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_n, z_{n-1})$, where $z_{\widehat{n-1,n}} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-2})$. Using Proposition 3.3 twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_r^{(n)}(z) &= E_{r-2}^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}) \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(a_1 t^{r-2})^{\pm 1}; p)} \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \\ &\quad + E_{r-1}^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}) \left(\frac{\theta(a_1 t^{r-1}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^{r-1}(a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1}(a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \frac{\theta(a_1 t^r(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^r(a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right) \\ &\quad + E_r^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}) \frac{\theta(a_1 t^r(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^r(a_2 t^{n-r-2})^{\pm 1}; p)} \frac{\theta(a_1 t^r(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_1 t^r(a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_{n-1}, z_n) - E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_n, z_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{E_{r-1}^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}})}{\theta(a_1 t^{r-1}(a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\theta(a_1 t^{r-1}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \theta(a_1 t^{r-1}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_2 t^{n-r}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{E_{r-1}^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}})}{\theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1}(a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_1 t^r(a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1}(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_1 t^r(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(a_1 t^r(z_{n-1})^{\pm 1}; p) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the three-term relation

$$\theta(xu^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(yv^{\pm 1}; p) - \theta(xv^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(yu^{\pm 1}; p) = \frac{y}{u} \theta(xy^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(uv^{\pm 1}; p)$$

of the theta function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_{n-1}, z_n) - E_r^{(n)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}, z_n, z_{n-1}) \\
&= -E_{r-1}^{(n-2)}(z_{\widehat{n-1,n}}) \left(\frac{a_1 t^{r-1} \theta(z_{n-1}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{z_{n-1} \theta(a_1 t^{r-1} (a_2 t^{n-r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a_2 t^{n-r-1} \theta(z_{n-1}(z_n)^{\pm 1}; p)}{z_{n-1} \theta(a_2 t^{n-r-1} (a_1 t^{r-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

We have thus proved that $E_r(z) = E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$) provide a basis of H_n satisfying the condition (3.2). The uniqueness of such a basis also follows from the interpolation property. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4 Two-term relations

As we have seen in Section 2, the left-hand side of (1.1) coincides with the Jackson integral (2.3). Hence our goal is to prove that if the balancing condition $a_1 \cdots a_6 t^{2n-2} = q$ is satisfied then

$$\int_0^{\xi^\infty} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(q a_1^2 t^{n+i-2}; p; q)_N \prod_{2 \leq j < k \leq 4} \theta(q a_j^{-1} a_k^{-1} t^{1-i}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} t^{2-n-i}; p; q)_N \prod_{m=2}^4 \theta(q a_m^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\xi = (a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1)$ and $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$. For this purpose, we investigate the q -difference equation to be satisfied by the left-hand side. In what follows, we always assume $\xi = (a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1)$, $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$ ($N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) so that the Jackson integral makes sense as a finite sum. However, we do not impose any balancing condition on the parameters $(a_1, \dots, a_6)$ until it becomes necessary.

Let $\varphi(z)$ be a meromorphic function on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, and suppose that $\varphi(z)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the multiplicative lattice $\Lambda_\xi = \{q^\nu \xi \mid \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Then by the q -shift invariance of Jackson integrals, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\xi^\infty} \varphi(z) \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z) &= \int_0^{\xi^\infty} T_{q, z_i} \left(\varphi(z) \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \right) \varpi_q(z) \\
&= \int_0^{\xi^\infty} T_{q, z_i} \varphi(z) \frac{T_{q, z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_0^{\xi^\infty} \left(\varphi(z) - \frac{T_{q, z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} T_{q, z_i} \varphi(z) \right) \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z) = 0.$$

In view of this property, we introduce the operator ∇_{q, z_i} by setting

$$\nabla_{q, z_i} \varphi(z) = \varphi(z) - \frac{T_{q, z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} T_{q, z_i} \varphi(z) \quad (4.2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In the notation

$$\langle \varphi(z) \rangle = \int_0^{\xi\infty} \varphi(z) \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z)$$

of expectation values, the q -shift invariance of Jackson integrals is expressed as

$$\langle \nabla_{q,z_i} \varphi(z) \rangle = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (4.3)$$

provided that $\varphi(z)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of Λ_ξ . In our case, from (2.1) and (2.2) the ratio $T_{q,z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z) / \tilde{\Phi}(z) = T_{q,z_i} \Phi(z) / \Phi(z)$ is explicitly written as

$$\frac{T_{q,z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} = \frac{\theta(q^2 z_i^2; p)}{q \theta(z_i^2; p)} \prod_{m=1}^6 \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} a_m^{-1} \theta(a_m z_i; p)}{\theta(q a_m^{-1} z_i; p)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} \frac{\theta(t z_i z_k^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(q^{-1} z_i^{-1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(z_i z_k^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(q^{-1} t z_i^{-1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p)}. \quad (4.4)$$

In this section we prove two-term relations for the Jackson integrals $\langle E_r(z) \rangle$ of the fundamental W_n -invariants $E_r(z) = E_r(a_1, a_2; z)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, n$).

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n t^{2n-2} = 1$. Then*

$$\langle E_r(a_1, a_2; z) \rangle = -c_r \langle E_{r-1}(a_1, a_2; z) \rangle \quad (r = 1, \dots, n), \quad (4.5)$$

where the coefficients c_r are given by

$$c_r = \frac{a_1^2 t^{2r-2} \theta(t^{n-r+1}; p) \theta(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{n-r+1}; p) \theta(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-n+2r}; p)}{a_2^2 t^{2n-2r} \theta(t^r; p) \theta(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{n-2r+2}; p) \theta(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^r; p)} \prod_{m=3}^6 \frac{\theta(a_m a_2 t^{n-r}; p)}{\theta(a_m a_1 t^{r-1}; p)}.$$

Proof. We remark that (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_{q,z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} &= - \frac{(q^{-1} z_i^{-1})^2 \theta(q^{-2} z_i^{-2}; p)}{z_i^2 \theta(z_i^2; p)} \prod_{m=1}^6 \frac{\theta(a_m z_i; p)}{\theta(q^{-1} a_m z_i^{-1}; p)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i}} \frac{\theta(t z_i z_k^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(q^{-1} z_i^{-1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(z_i z_k^{\pm 1}; p) \theta(q^{-1} t z_i^{-1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the above ratio is expressed as

$$\frac{T_{q,z_i} \tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(z)} = - \frac{F_i(z)}{T_{q,z_i}(F_i(z^{-1}))}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $z^{-1} = (z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1})$ and

$$F_i(z) = \frac{\prod_{m=1}^6 \theta(a_m z_i; p)}{z_i^2 \theta(z_i^2; p)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \frac{\theta(t z_i z_j^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(z_i z_j^{\pm 1}; p)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Fixing an index $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we define a meromorphic function $\varphi_i(z)$ by

$$\varphi_i(z) = F_i(z^{-1})E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{i}}),$$

where $z_{\hat{i}} = (z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Note that $\varphi_i(z)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of Λ_ξ , $\xi = (a_1 t^{n-1}, a_1 t^{n-2}, \dots, a_1)$. Then, from the definition (4.2) of ∇_{q, z_i} and (4.6), we have

$$\nabla_{q, z_i} \varphi_i(z) = \left(F_i(z^{-1}) + F_i(z) \right) E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{i}}),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{q, z_i} \varphi_i(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(F_i(z^{-1}) + F_i(z) \right) E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{i}}).$$

Here we set

$$h_r(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(F_i(z^{-1}) + F_i(z) \right) E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{i}}) \quad (4.8)$$

for $r = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have

$$\langle h_r(z) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{q, z_i} \varphi_i(z) \rangle = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

by (4.3). Theorem 4.1 is obtained by combining (4.9) with Lemma 4.2 below. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 Suppose that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_6 t^{2n-2} = 1$.

- (1) For each $r = 1, \dots, n$, $h_r(z)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space H_n of (3.1).
- (2) In terms of the fundamental W_n -invariants $E_s(z)$ ($s = 0, 1, \dots, n$), $h_r(z)$ is expressed as

$$h_r(z) = c_{r,r} E_r^{(n)}(z) + c_{r,r-1} E_{r-1}^{(n)}(z), \quad (4.10)$$

where the coefficients $c_{r,r}$ and $c_{r,r-1}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{r,r} &= F_r(\zeta^{(r)}) \\ &= \frac{\theta(t^r; p) \theta(a_1 a_2 t^{n-1}; p) \theta(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^r; p)}{(a_1 t^{r-1})^2 \theta(t; p) \theta(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-n+2r}; p)} \prod_{m=3}^6 \theta(a_m a_1 t^{r-1}; p), \\ c_{r,r-1} &= F_n(\zeta^{(r-1)}) \\ &= \frac{\theta(t^{n-r}; p) \theta(a_1 a_2 t^{n-1}; p) \theta(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{n-r+1}; p)}{(a_2 t^{n-r})^2 \theta(t; p) \theta(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{n-2r+2}; p)} \prod_{m=3}^6 \theta(a_m a_2 t^{n-r}; p). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. (1) Under the balancing condition $a_1 a_2 \dots a_6 t^{2n-2} = 1$, by the explicit form (4.7) of $F_i(z)$ it is directly verified that $h_r(z)$ has the required quasi-periodicity. By the expression (4.8), $h_r(z)$ is symmetric in the variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$. The invariance with respect to the inversion $z_k \rightarrow z_k^{-1}$ follows from the W_{n-1} -invariance of $E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{i}})$ ($i \neq k$) and $F_k(z^{-1}) = F_k(z)|_{z_k \rightarrow z_k^{-1}}$. It remains to be shown that $h_r(z)$ is holomorphic on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. By the quasi-periodicity and the W_n -invariance,

we have only to show that $h_r(z)$ has no poles along the divisors $z_1/z_2 = 1$ and $z_1^2 = 1$. It can be confirmed by

$$\theta(z_1/z_2; p)(F_1(z^\epsilon)E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{1}}) + F_2(z^\epsilon)E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{2}}))\big|_{z_1=z_2} = 0 \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1)$$

and by the fact that $z_1^{-1}\theta(z_1^2; p)(F_1(z^{-1}) + F_1(z))$ is alternating with respect to the inversion $z_1 \rightarrow z_1^{-1}$, respectively.

(2) By Theorem 3.1, $h_r(z)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the fundamental W_n -invariants:

$$h_r(z) = \sum_{s=0}^n c_{r,s} E_s^{(n)}(z), \quad \text{where} \quad c_{r,s} = h_r(\zeta^{(s)}) \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

By the definition (4.7), $F_i(z^{-1})$ and $F_i(z)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) satisfy the vanishing property

$$F_i((\zeta^{(s)})^{-1}) = 0 \quad (0 \leq s \leq n), \quad F_i(\zeta^{(s)}) = 0 \quad (0 \leq s \leq n; i \neq s, n).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{r,0} &= h_r(\zeta^{(0)}) = F_n(\zeta^{(0)})E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{n}})|_{z=\zeta^{(0)}} = \delta_{r,1}F_n(\zeta^{(0)}), \\ c_{r,n} &= h_r(\zeta^{(n)}) = F_n(\zeta^{(n)})E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{n}})|_{z=\zeta^{(n-1)}} = \delta_{r,n}F_n(\zeta^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

For $s = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{r,s} &= h_r(\zeta^{(s)}) = F_s(\zeta^{(s)})E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{n}})|_{z=\zeta^{(s-1)}} + F_n(\zeta^{(s)})E_{r-1}^{(n-1)}(z_{\hat{n}})|_{z=\zeta^{(s)}} \\ &= \delta_{r,s}F_s(\zeta^{(s)}) + \delta_{r-1,s}F_n(\zeta^{(s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$c_{r,s} = \delta_{r,s}F_r(\zeta^{(r)}) + \delta_{r-1,s}F_n(\zeta^{(r-1)}).$$

Note that the formula above is valid in the cases $k = 0$ and n as well. Finally we obtain

$$h_r(z) = c_{r,r-1}E_{r-1}(z) + c_{r,r}E_r(z); \quad c_{r,r-1} = F_n(\zeta^{(r-1)}), \quad c_{r,r} = F_r(\zeta^{(r)})$$

for $r = 1, \dots, n$, which give the expressions of (4.10) and (4.11). $\square$

From Lemma 4.2, by (4.9) we obtain $c_{r,r}\langle E_r(z) \rangle + c_{r,r-1}\langle E_{r-1}(z) \rangle = \langle h_r(z) \rangle = 0$, namely, $\langle E_r(z) \rangle = -c_r\langle E_{r-1}(z) \rangle$ with $c_r = c_{r,r}/c_{r,r-1}$ ($r = 1, \dots, n$). This gives the two-term relation (4.5).

Applying these two-term relations repeatedly, we obtain the formula connecting $\langle E_n(z) \rangle$ and $\langle E_0(z) \rangle$.

Corollary 4.3 *Suppose that $a_1a_2 \cdots a_6t^{2n-2} = 1$. Then one has*

$$\langle E_n(a_1, a_2; z) \rangle = \langle E_0(a_1, a_2; z) \rangle \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_1^3 \theta(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{i-1}; p)}{a_2^3 \theta(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{i-1}; p)} \prod_{m=3}^6 \frac{\theta(a_m a_2 t^{i-1}; p)}{\theta(a_m a_1 t^{i-1}; p)} \right).$$

5 Proof of the summation formula

In this section, we prove the summation formula (4.1) on the basis of Theorem 4.1 of the previous section.

In order to investigate the dependence of the Jackson integral on the parameters (a_5, a_6) , we set

$$J(a_5, a_6) = \langle 1 \rangle = \int_0^{\xi\infty} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \varpi_q(z), \quad \xi = (a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{n-1}),$$

assuming that $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$, where N is a nonnegative integer. Since

$$T_{q, a_k} \tilde{\Phi}(z) = \tilde{\Phi}(z) \prod_{i=1}^n (-a_k^{-1}) \theta(a_k z_i^{\pm 1}; p),$$

we have

$$T_{q, a_k} \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \right) = \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(z)}{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_k z_i^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_k (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}$$

for $k = 2, \dots, 6$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_5, q a_6) &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_6 z_i^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_6 (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right\rangle = \langle E_n(a_5, a_6; z) \rangle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_6 (a_5 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_6 (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}, \\ J(q a_5, a_6) &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_5 z_i^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_5 (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)} \right\rangle = \langle E_0(a_5, a_6; z) \rangle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(a_5 (a_6 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}{\theta(a_5 (a_1 t^{i-1})^{\pm 1}; p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 4.3 for (a_5, a_6) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_5, q a_6) &= J(q a_5, a_6) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_5^2 \theta(a_5^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p)}{a_6^2 \theta(a_6^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p)} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{\theta(a_m a_6 t^{i-1}; p)}{\theta(a_m a_5 t^{i-1}; p)} \right) \\ &= J(q a_5, a_6) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta(a_5 a_1^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p)}{\theta(a_6^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p)} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{\theta(a_m^{-1} a_6^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p)}{\theta(a_m a_5 t^{i-1}; p)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where the second equality follows from $\theta(x; p) = -x\theta(x^{-1}; p)$ and $a_1 \dots a_6 t^{2n-2} = 1$.

We now suppose that $a_1 \dots a_6 t^{2n-2} = q$ and $a_1 a_6 t^{n-1} = q^{-N}$. Then,

$$J(a_5, a_6) = J(q^{-1} a_5, q a_6) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta(a_6^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p)}{\theta(q^{-1} a_5 a_1^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p)} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{\theta(q^{-1} a_m a_5 t^{i-1}; p)}{\theta(a_m^{-1} a_6^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p)} \right),$$

which is obtained from (5.1) by replacing a_5 with $q^{-1} a_5$. Using this formula N times we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_5, a_6) &= J(q^{-N} a_5, q^N a_6) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta(q^{1-N} a_6^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q^{-N} a_5 a_1^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p; q)_N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{\theta(q^{-N} a_m a_5 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q^{1-N} a_m^{-1} a_6^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p; q)_N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1(q^N a_6)t^{n-1} = 1$, the Jackson integral $J(q^{-N} a_5, q^N a_6)$ reduces to 1 as we mentioned at the end of Section 2. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_5, a_6) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta(q^{1-N} a_6^{-1} a_1 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q^{-N} a_5 a_1^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p; q)_N} \prod_{m=2}^4 \frac{\theta(q^{-N} a_m a_5 t^{i-1}; p; q)_N}{\theta(q^{1-N} a_m^{-1} a_6^{-1} t^{-i+1}; p; q)_N} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta(q a_1^2 t^{n+i-2}; p; q)_N \prod_{2 \leq j < k \leq 4} \theta(q a_j^{-1} a_k^{-1} t^{-n+i}; p; q)_N}{\theta(a_5 a_6 t^{n-i}; p; q)_N \prod_{m=2}^4 \theta(q a_m^{-1} a_1 t^{n-i}; p; q)_N}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (4.1). This completes the proof of the summation formula (1.1).

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grants (S)24224001, (C)25400118 and (B)15H03626. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees who kindly provided valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of our manuscript.

References

- [1] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, An elliptic Macdonald–Morris conjecture and multiple modular hypergeometric sums. *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), 729–746.
- [2] H. Coskun and R. A. Gustafson, Well-poised Macdonald functions W_λ and Jackson coefficients ω_λ on BC_n ; in *Jack, Hall–Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials*, pp.127–155, *Contemp. Math.*, **417**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [3] I. B. Frenkel and V. G. Turaev, Elliptic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions; in *The Arnold–Gelfand mathematical seminars*, pp.171–204, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [4] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Second edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 96. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. xxvi+428 pp.
- [5] M. Ito, A multiple generalization of Slater’s transformation formula for a very-well-poised-balanced ${}_2r\psi_{2r}$ series. *Q. J. Math.* **59** (2008), 221–235.
- [6] E. M. Rains, BC_n -symmetric Abelian functions. *Duke Math. J.* **135** (2006), 99–180.
- [7] E. M. Rains, Transformations of elliptic hypergeometric integrals, *Ann. Math.* **171** (2010), 169–243.
- [8] H. Rosengren, A proof of a multivariable elliptic summation formula conjectured by Warnaar. In: *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics* (Urbana, IL, 2000), 193–202, *Contemp. Math.*, **291**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [9] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, First order analytic difference equations and integrable quantum systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997), 1069–1146.

- [10] M. Schlosser, Elliptic enumeration of nonintersecting lattice paths. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114** (2007), 505–521.
- [11] V. P. Spiridonov, Theta hypergeometric integrals. *Algebra i Analiz* **15** (2003), 161–215; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **15** (2004), 929–967
- [12] V. P. Spiridonov and S. O. Warnaar, New multiple ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formulas and related conjectures. *Ramanujan J.* **25** (2011), 319–342.
- [13] S. O. Warnaar, Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series. *Constr. Approx.* **18** (2002), 479–502.